on the surface tension was investigated. The surface tension for water was obtained from the correlation by Jasper (4) as $\sigma/(mN m^{-1}) = 75.83 - 0.1477(t/^{\circ}C)$. Figure 2 shows the surface tensions for propylene glycol + water against mole fraction interpolated for temperatures of 30, 50, and 100 °C.

Connors and Wright (5) propose the equation

$$\sigma = \sigma_{A} - \left\{ 1 + \frac{bx_{A}}{1 - ax_{A}} \right\} x_{B}(\sigma_{A} - \sigma_{B})$$
(3)

where $\sigma_{\rm A}$ is the surface tension of water and $\sigma_{\rm B}$ the surface tension of the organic component to describe the effect of composition on the mixture surface tension. Hoke and Chen (1) showed that the surface tension parameters a and b are temperature dependent.

On the basis of the results given in Table II, the values for the surface tension parameters a and b are found from a nonlinear least-squares fit. Parameters are best described by

$$a = 0.9509 + 4.711 \times 10^{-6} (t/^{\circ}C) + 3.929 \times 10^{-7} (t/^{\circ}C)^{2}$$

$$b = 0.7920 + 2.926 \times 10^{-6} (t/^{\circ}C) + 1.001 \times 10^{-7} (t/^{\circ}C)^{2}$$

for temperatures between 10 °C and the normal boiling point of the propylene glycol + water mixture. The average deviation for a and b is less than 0.01%. Propylene glycol + water surface tensions at any temperature between 10 °C and the normal boiling point can be calculated using the pure component surface tension correlations and eq 3.

Acknowledgment

We thank Professor John C. Chen for providing the experimental equipment.

Nomenclature

- a = surface tension parameter defined in eq 3
- b = surface tension parameter defined in eq 3
- t = temperature
- T_c = critical temperature T_c' = pseudocritical temperature defined in eq 2 $T_{c}' =$ pseudocritical temperature of $T_{r}' =$ reduced temperature, T/T_{c}'

x = mole fraction

Greek Letters

- α = surface tension parameter defined in eq 1
- β = surface tension parameter defined in eq 1
- $\sigma = surface tension$

Subscripts

- A = water
- B = propylene glycol
 - Registry No. Propylene glycol, 57-55-6.

Literature Cited

- Hoke, B. C., Jr.; Chen, J. C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1991, 36, 322.
 Sugden, S. J. Chem. Soc., Trans. 1922, 121, 858.
 Jones, W. S.; Tamplin, W. S. In Glycols; Curme, G. O., Jr., Johnston,
- F., Eds.; Reinhold Publishing Corp.: New York, 1952; p 210.
 (4) Jasper, J. J. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1972, 1, 841.
 (5) Connors, K. A.; Wright, J. L. Anal. Chem. 1989, 61, 194.

Received for review November 25, 1991. Accepted March 26, 1992.

Partial Molar Volumes of Transfer at Infinite Dilution of Some Electrolytes in Dimethyl Sulfoxide–Water Mixtures at 298.15 K

Eugenio García-Pañeda. Pilar Pérez-Teleda. Cavetano Yanes. and Alfredo Maestre*

Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Sevilia, 41012 Sevilia, Spain

Limiting partial molar volumes, V2°, of LiCi, NaCi, KCi, NaBr, KBr, KI, NaPh, B, and Ph, AsCi have been determined in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-water mixtures at 298.15 K with a vibrating tube digital densimeter. V_2^{∞} values for alkali-metal halides with a common cation or anion increase with the increasing size of the counterion and decrease with the increase of the DMSO composition. This last effect is also shown in the case of NaPh,B and Ph_AsCI. Partial molar volumes of transfer from water to DMSO-water mixtures, $\Delta_t V_2^{\infty}$, have been calculated in order to obtain a measure of the difference in electrolyte-solvent interactions between water and the different mixtures. Observed effects show a decrease in $\Delta_1 V_2^{\infty}$ with the DMSO composition for all electrolytes studied, but whereas $\Delta_t V_2^{\circ}$ values for Ph₄AsCl are negative, those for NaPh,B are positive at all DMSO compositions.

Introduction

The partial molar volume at infinite dilution, V_2^{∞} , is an appropriate thermodynamic quantity for elucidating the nature of solute-solvent interactions. While extensive data have been obtained for V_2^{∞} of electrolytes in water (1) and nonaqueous solvent (2-9), less attention has been paid to mixed aqueous solvents (10-13). Possibly, due to the large quantity of experimental work necessary, proof that some of the work on mixed aqueous solvents has been done at only one electrolyte concentration (12, 13). This paper reports limiting partial volumes of some electrolytes in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)water mixtures at 298.15 K. DMSO was chosen because of Its extensive application in chemistry, pharmacy, and industry.

Experimental Section

Dimethyl sulfoxide (Merck, G.R., stated purity >99.5 mol %, H₂O <0.03%) was kept over thermally activated 4-Å molecular sleves prior to use. Nonane (Fluka, 74252, >99 mol %) was used as received. The salts LICI, NaCI, KCI, NaBr, and KI were reagents (Merck) and were used after drying overnight at 393.15 K. NaPh₄B (Merck, G.R.) was dried at 353.15 K in a vacuum desiccator for 48 h. Ph₄AsCl+H₂O (Merck, G.R.) was prepared as an anhydrous salt according to the literature recommended method (15). Water was obtained from a Milli-RO and Mill-Q water system (Millipore, $\sigma \approx 10^{-6}$ S m⁻¹). Both DMSO and water were degassed prior to making solutions by weight.

The solution densities were measured at 298.15 K with an Anton Paar (DMA 60/602) vibrating tube densimeter with precision of $\pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$ kg m⁻³. The temperature in the measuring cell was regulated through a cascade water bath apparatus (Heto). This system permits stability within ± 0.01 K for a period of several days as checked by a digital precision thermometer

Table I.	Molalities m_2 ,	Densities ρ ,	and Apparent	t Molar Volur	nes $\phi_{\mathbf{v},2}$ for Se	everal Electrolyte	s in x DMSO +	+ (1 - x) Water at
298.15 K								

$m_2/(m_2) \ln (\pi^{-1})$	$\frac{10^{-3}\rho}{(\ln \sigma m^{-3})}$	$\frac{10^6 \phi_{v,2}}{(m^3 m c l^{-1})}$	$m_2/(m_2 k \sigma^{-1})$	$10^{-3}\rho/$	$\frac{10^6 \phi_{v,2}}{(m^3 mol^{-1})}$	$m_2/(m_2) k m^{-1}$	$10^{-3}\rho/$	$\frac{10^6 \phi_{v,2}}{(m^3 mol^{-1})}$
(morkg)	(куш)			(Kg III)	(11 1101)		(
0.0000	1.08276		0.1529	1.08711	14.82	0.2574	1.089 97	15.16
0.0499	1.084 20	14.52	0.1758	1.08774	14.92	0.2999	1.09117	15.11
0.0753	1.084 93	14.52	0.1970	1.08837	14.78			
0.0998	1.08562	14.67	0.2223	1.08911	14.70			
			N	aCl (x = 0.25)	70)			
0.0502	1.084 75	20.12	0.1257	1.087 70	20.36	0.1925	1.090 36	20.16
0.0757	1.08576	20.12	0.1491	1.088.65	20.17	0.2248	1.09161	20.23
0.0984	1.086 65	20.18	0.1685	1.089 40	20.24	0.2539	1.09272	20.33
0.0518	1 085 10	30.26	0 1529	CI(x = 0.257)	0) 30.12	0 2240	1 092 84	30.20
0.0015	1.087.35	30.16	0.1794	1.090.87	30.08	0.2473	1.093.89	30.16
0.1254	1.088 44	30.07	0.1971	1.09165	30.14	0.2110	1.000.00	00.10
			Ν	aBr (x = 0.25)	70)			
0.0486	1.08657	28.07	0.1224	1.09236	27.89	0.2015	1.09850	28.00
0.0742	1.088 58	27.98	0.1437	1.09401	27.97	0.2216	1.10007	27.96
0.1000	1.090 60	27.96	0.1743	1.096 42	27.84			
			K	$\operatorname{Br}(x = 0.257)$	(0)			
0.0744	1.089 09	37.11	0.1749	1.097 46	37.71	0.2484	1.103 61	37.59
0.1216	1.093 07	37.24	0.1974	1.09938	37.52	0.2968	1.107 63	37.58
0.1494	1.095 35	37.60	0.2231	1.10150	37.61			
0.0501	1 088 79	51 51	0 1944	$KI (x = 0.257) \\ 1.097.38$)) 52.37	0 1997	1 105 00	52 06
0.0755	1.091 70	51.83	0.1463	1.099.89	52.62	0.2237	1.108.69	53 18
0.0994	1.094 48	52.19	0.1619	1.101 69	52.67	0.2493	1.111 56	53.36
_			Na	$Ph_{i}B(r=0.2)$	570)			
0.0521	1.08411	293.60	0.1472	1.086 49	293.45	0.1954	1.08825	293.20
0.0762	1.08474	293.37	0.1598	1.08695	293.48	0.2190	1.086 80	293.41
0.1216	1.08587	293.41	0.1666	1.087 69	293.22	0.2735	1.089 57	292.99
			Ph	AsCl $(x = 0.2$	570)			
0.0490	1.086 84	314.55	0.1216	1.09276	313.76	0.1912	1.098 15	313.68
0.0668	1.08834	314.06	0.1537	1.095 27	313.75			
0.1017	1.091 17	313.83	0.1558	1.095 40	313.93			
0.0000	1 000 00		L 0.1999	iCl (x = 0.349)	19.16	0.9900	1 000 64	19 61
0.0000	1.092.99	19.04	0.1203	1.090 91	13.10	0.2209	1 100 55	13.51
0.0008	1.094.00	19 94	0.1320	1.098.34	13.34	0.2010	1.100 50	10.00
0.0959	1.095 92	13.18	0.1975	1.09894	13.49			
			Ν	aCl(x = 0.349)	98)			
0.0394	1.09463	18.58	0.0519	1.095 13	18.88	0.0934	1.09678	19.42
0.0436	1.094 81	18.46	0.0663	1.09571	19.09	0.0937	1.096 79	19.46
0.0517	1.095 12	18.95	0.0792	1.096 23	19.15			
0.0/5-	1 005 04	00 51	4	(x = 0.349)	8)	A 8005	1 100 /=	00.11
0.0471	1.095 21	28.71	0.1157	1.09831	29.60	0.2097	1.10247	30.11
0.0743	1.09645	29.12	0.1441	1.099.60	29.65	0.2129	1.10268	29.85
0.0945	1.097 37	29.30	0.1792	1.101 21	29.09	0.2614	1.104 80	30.06
0.0448	1 096 55	97 AR	0 1413 N	aBr(x = 0.34) 1 104 14	98) 97.89	0 2041	1 109 19	27 59
0.0982	1,100 76	27.70	0.1478	1.104 69	27.56	0.2284	1.110.98	27.74
0.1130	1.101 89	27.97	0.1771	1.106 90	28.05			=,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			к	Br(x = 0.349)	(8)			
0.0489	1.097 18	36.97	0.1160	1.102 88	37.20	0.1898	1.109 12	37.20
0.0704	1.099 02	36.97	0.1514	1.10587	37.21	0.2212	1.11175	37.26
0.0829	1.100 07	37.16	0.1727	1.10768	37.19	0.2445	1.11370	37.28
0.0401	1.007.90	50.50	0 1 0 0 9	KI(x = 0.3498)	B)	0.0450	1 100 05	EN 07
0.0401	1.09783	00.00 50.83	0.1398	1.10968	01.10 50.65	0.2458	1.122 20	00.87
0.1243	1.107 90	50.81	0.1914	1.115 78	51.15			
			Na	$\mathbf{Ph}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{B} \ (\mathbf{x} = 0.3)$	498)			
0.0498	1.09408	294.51	0.1130	1.095 41	294.53	0.1797	1.096 81	294.29
0.0700	1.09453	294.28	0.1361	1.095 90	294.43	0.2369	1.09801	294.02
0.0963	1.095 06	294.55	0.1656	1.096 48	294.53	0.2614	1.09855	293.82

 $10^{6}\phi_{\rm v,2}/$ $10^{6}\phi_{\rm v,2}/$ $10^{6} \phi_{v,2/}$ $10^{-3}\rho/$ $10^{-3}\rho/$ $10^{-3}\rho/$ m,/ m_{2} $m_{2}/$ (m³ mol⁻¹) (mol kg⁻¹) (m³ mol⁻¹) (mol kg⁻¹) (kg m⁻³) (kg m⁻³) (mol kg⁻¹) (kg m⁻³) (m³ mol⁻¹) $Ph_4AsCl (x = 0.3498)$ 0.0455 1.096 80 311.90 0.0807 1.09969 311.79 0.1195 1.102 82 311.48 0.0564 1.097 70 311.86 0.0944 1.100 82 311.47 0.1541 1.105 55 311.36 0.1030 0.1809 0.0703 1.09886 311.63 1.101 51 311.46 1.107 54 311.68 LiCl (x = 0.5665)1.09921 0.0000 0.1273 1.10366 9.59 0.2028 1.106 20 9.97 9.63 0.0501 1.10096 0.1594 1.10472 9.91 0.1087 1.103 04 0.1867 1.105 62 9.37 10.09 NaCl (x = 0.5665)0.0799 1.10261 17.88 0.1292 1.10467 18.10 0.1800 1.10675 18.37 1.10786 1.103 62 17.96 0.1568 1.10580 0.20870.1039 18.2718.72KCl (x = 0.5665)0.0521 1.101 81 26.31 0.0751 1.10294 26.64 0.0998 1.10414 26.81 0.0666 1.10256 26.110.0904 1.10370 26.60 0.1128 1.10474 27.12 NaBr (x = 0.5665)1.107 60 0.0506 1.103 44 24.29 0.1015 25.01 0.1521 1.11178 24.93 0.0761 1.105 54 1.109 69 0.2037 24.60 0.1265 24.81 1.11599 25.05 KBr (x = 0.5665)0.0487 1.103 55 34.41 0.0877 1.107 05 34.00 0.1585 1.113 29 34.49 1.106 09 0.0773 34.42 0.1252 1.11031 34.54 0.1764 1.11483 34.49 KI (x = 0.5665)1.105 59 48.61 0.1481 1.117 40 48.57 0.2012 1.12402 47.89 0.0517 0.0748 1.10845 48.40 0.1758 1.120 89 48.01 0.2218 1.126 54 47.86 $NaPh_4B (x = 0.5665)$ 294.20 0.1203 $1.101\,76$ 0.0495 1.100 22 293.12 0.1954 1.103 33 292.79 1.100 88 293.65 0.1498 1.10238 292.98 0.0788 0.2195 1.103 83 292.69 0.0977 1.101 28 293.26 0.1751 1.10291 292.87 $Ph_4AsCl (x = 0.5665)$ 0.0483 1.103 26 310.45 0.1302 1.109 85 310.37 0.1962 1.11486 310.58 0.0740 1.105 37 310.41 0.1505 1.111 41 310.47 1.107 66 0.1025 310.39 0.1755 1.113 30 310.58 LiCl (x = 0.6748)0.1238 1.103 29 0.0000 1.098 82 8.65 0.2191 1.106 69 8.76 0.0514 1.10071 8.13 0.1483 1.10418 8.61 0.2930 1.109 42 9.04 0.0725 1.101 48 8.16 0.1699 1.10499 8.44 0.0958 1.10232 8.28 0.2045 1.10616 8.79 NaCl (x = 0.6748)0.0132 1.099 41 16.12 0.0303 1.10017 16.26 0.0381 1.100 51 16.40 16.250.0342 0.0182 1.099 63 1.100 35 16.15 0.0440 1.10077 16.47 NaBr (x = 0.6748)0.0358 0.0252 1.100 95 23.46 1.10184 23.620.0488 1.10293 23.73 0.0279 1.10118 23.56 0.0435 1.10248 23.82 0.0596 1.10383 23.94 1.10292 0.0310 1.101 43 23.92 0.0487 23.84 KBr (x = 0.6748)0.0477 1.103 19 32.08 0.1169 1.10947 32.52 0.1777 1.11491 32.82 0.0631 1.10459 32.410.1369 1.111 27 32.61 0.2184 1.11851 33.05 0.0856 1.106 65 32.31 0.1593 1.113 29 32.65 0.2471 1.12108 33.02 KI (x = 0.6748)0.0242 1.101 86 46.82 0.0448 1.104 48 46.27 0.1229 1.114 25 46.42 0.0309 1.10272 46.35 0.0681 1.107 43 46.02 0.1824 1.121 68 46.34 $NaPh_4B (x = 0.6748)$ 0.0513 1.09994 293.06 0.0930 1.100 90 292.37 0.1386 1.10193 292.04 0.0634 1.10026 292.25 0.12351.101 59 292.14 0.1644 1.10251291.88 0.0743 1.100 47 292.63 0.1376 1.10192 291.97 $Ph_4AsCl (x = 0.6748)$ 307.66 0.0870 0.0459 1.10283 1.106 37 307.15 0.1228 1.10934 307.22 0.0730 1.10516 307.46 0.1104 1.10832 307.20 0.1280 1.10975 307.36 $NaPh_4B (x = 1.0)$ 1.095 59 0.0999 0.0000 1.09806 291.12 0.1720 1.09982 290.76 0.0522 1.096 89 291.29 0.1227 1.09864 290.85 0.1968 1.100 39 290.78 0.0737 1.097 43 291.09 0.1482 1.099 26 290.77 $Ph_4AsCl (x = 1.0)$ 0.0523 1.100 42 303.92 0.1238 303.52 1.106 83 0.1913 303.93 1.11250 303.95 0.0777 1.10275 303.54 0.1480 1.108 85 0.1011 1.10483 303.57 0.1746 1.11108 304.06

Table I (Continued)

Table II. Partial Molar Volumes V_2° at Infinite Dilution in x DMSO + (1 - x) Water Mixtures at 298.15 K

	$V_2^{\infty}/(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$ at various x					
electrolyte	0.2570	0.3498	0.5665	0.6748	1.0	
LiCl	14.1 ± 0.4	12.4 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 1.0	7.4 ± 0.4	4.7,° 10.4°	
NaCl	20.0 ± 0.3	16.9 ± 0.6	16.5 ± 0.6	15.8 ± 0.6	15.0,ª 12.3 ^b	
KCl	30.2 ± 0.2	27.9 ± 0.5	25.0 ± 1.3	24.3 ± 1.7^{e}	23.8,ª 20.0°	
NaBr	28.1 ± 0.3	27.4 ± 0.8	23.7 ± 0.8	22.9 ± 0.8	19.4,° 19.9°	
KBr	36.7 ± 0.6	36.8 ± 0.2	34.1 ± 1.0	31.4 ± 0.3	28.1,ª 27.7 ^b	
KI	49.9 ± 0.3	50.4 ± 0.8	49.3 ± 1.0	46.6 ± 0.8	42.8,ª 41.9°	
NaPh, B	294.0 ± 0.5	295.0 ± 0.7	295.2 ± 0.8	294.0 ± 0.9	$291.8 \pm 0.2,^{d} 290^{b}$	
Ph ₄ AsCl	315.0 ± 1.0	312.2 ± 0.7	310.2 ± 0.4	308.1 ± 0.5	$303.3 \pm 1.0^{d}, 304^{c}$	

-

^aReference 6. ^bReference 9. ^cReference 5. ^dThis work. ^eFrom additivity law.

(Anton Paar DT 100-20). The whole system was placed in a thermostated room at ± 0.5 K. The densimeter was calibrated everyday by use of water ($\rho = 997.045$ kg m⁻³) (*16*) and nonane ($\rho = 713.85$ kg m⁻³) (*17*).

In order to test the instrument, densities of aqueous NaCl solutions were determined and compared favorably to data from the literature (18). The partial molar volume at infinite dilution of NaCl was found to be 16.63 \pm 0.15 \times 10⁻⁶ m³ mol⁻¹, which agreed with those tabulated by Millero (1). Nevertheless, density values for aqueous DMSO solutions had an uncertainty of \pm 10 \times 10⁻³ kg m⁻³. This loss of precision in relation to aqueous solutions is also observed by other researchers with acetonitrile aqueous solutions (13). The reason for this discrepancy is not clear at present. Densities of DMSO-water binary mixtures (Table I) agree well with the corresponding literature values (19, 20).

Results and Discussion

The apparent molar volumes, ϕ_v , of electrolytes in DMSOwater mixtures or pure DMSO were evaluated from experimentally measured densities with the equation

$$\phi_{\rm v} = \frac{(\rho^{\circ} - \rho)}{m\rho\rho^{\circ}} + \frac{M_2}{\rho} \tag{1}$$

where ρ° is the density of water or DMSO-water solvent, ρ is the density of electrolyte solutions, and *M* and *m* are the molar mass and the molality of the electrolyte, respectively. The values of ϕ_v determined via eq 1 at various concentrations, along with the respective densities, are given in Table I. Since ϕ_v 's varied linearly with $m^{1/2}$ over the concentration range studied, the limiting partial molar volume of the electrolyte, $V_2^{\circ} = \phi_v^{\circ}$, was obtained by least-squares fitting of the results to the Masson equation

$$\phi_{u} = \phi_{u}^{\infty} + S_{u}^{*} m^{1/2}$$
 (2)

where S_v^* is the experimental slope. Values of V_2^{∞} together with their 95% confidence limits are presented in Table II. As can be observed, the additivity law shows in all instances to be smaller than $\pm 2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$.

We can see from Table II that V_2^{∞} values for alkali-metal halides with a common cation or anion increase on increasing the ionic size of the ion and decrease with the increase of DMSO composition.

A measure of the difference in solute-solvent interactions between water (w) and DMSO-water or pure DMSO (s) can be obtained by means of partial molar volumes of transfer at infinite dilution, $\Delta_1 V_2^{\infty}$ (w \rightarrow s). In this sense, we have calculated $\Delta_t V_2^{\infty}$ values from Table I and those of water (1); the results are presented in Table IIII. It can be seen that the increasing of DMSO produces a decrease in $\Delta_1 V_2^{\infty}$ values. This effect is more pronounced for LiCi than for the other alkali-metal halides. Moreover, reverse trends for NaPh₄B in relation to Ph₄AsCl are observed. In binary DMSO-water mixtures solvent-solvent interactions depend on the DMSO composition, and three different regions can be considered (21, 22): (1) a

Table III. Partial Molar Volumes of Transfer of Electrolytes (2) at Infinite Dilution $\Delta_t V_2^{\infty}$ from Water to x DMSO + (1 - x) Water at 298.15 K

						_	
	$\Delta_t V_2^{\infty}/(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$ at various x						
electrolyte	0.2570	0.3498	0.5665	0.6748	1.0		
LiCl	-2.8	-4.5	-8.0	-9.5	-12.2		
NaCl	3.4	0.3	-0.1	0.8	-1.6		
KCl	3.4	1.1	-1.8	-2.5	-3.0		
NaBr	4.6	3.9	0.2	-0.6	-4.1		
KBr	3.0	3.1	0.4	-2.3	-5.6		
KI	4.8	5.3	4.2	1.5	-2.6		
NaPh₄B	17.6	18.6	18.8	17.6	15.4		
Ph/AsCl	-3.5	-6.3	-8.3	-10.4	-15.2		

highly water-rich region, a quasiclathrate structure, (2) a region where further addition of DMSO involves strong DMSO-water interactions, forming hydrogen-bonded associate DMSO-water, which are maximized at $x_{\text{DMSO}} \approx 0.33$, and (3) a region where dipole-dipole interactions between water and DMSO molecules appear. The presence of electrolytes in the binary mixture DMSO-H2O must modify solvent-solvent interactions, in the sense of preventing or facilitating them, this effect being dependent on the kind of electrolyte. Transfer thermodynamic measurements of ions through the whole range of DMSO, such as $\Delta_t G_i^{\infty}$, $\Delta_t H_i^{\infty}$, and $\Delta_t S_i^{\infty}$, show no indication of preferential solvation (23). However, Petrelia et al. (24) on the basis of lonic conductivity suggest that alkali metals are preferentially solvated by water in water-rich regions. On the other hand, pure DMSO solvates cations stronger than anions (5, 6). It is difficult to explain the varions of $\Delta_t V_2^{\infty}$ in Table III from ionic effects since an electrolyte is treated as a whole. The evaluation of individual partial molar quantities of ions is made by using some extrathermodynamic assumptions (25). Nevertheless, in the concrete case of volume, single ionic contributions to V_2^{∞} can be experimentally obtained using ultrasonic vibration potential (UVP) (26) or sedimentation potential (SP) (27) measurements. Extrathermodynamic methods (28) and UVP or SP measurements agree well when the solvent is water, but in dipolar aprotic solvents, such as DMSO, or their aqueous mixtures in the nonaqueous solvent-rich region, significant differences are observed (6, 28). Lankford and Criss (9) have noted that in dipolar aprotic solvents the only way to obtain single ionic contributions to V_2^{∞} is from UVP or SP measurements. Unfortunately, in DMSO-water mixtures these measurements are iacking and the ionic partial molar volumes, V_i^{∞} , obtained from some extrathermodynamic assumption would be doublous, especially in our case where the DMSO composition is fairly high.

Registry No. DMSO, 67-68-5; LICI, 7447-41-8; NaCl, 7647-14-5; KCI, 7447-40-7; NaBr, 7647-15-6; KBr, 7758-02-3; KI, 7681-11-0; NaPh₄B, 143-66-8; Ph₄AsCl, 507-28-8.

Literature Cited

- Millero, F. J. In Water and Aqueous Solutions (structure, Thermodynamics, and Transport Processes); Home, R. A., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1972; Chapter 13.
- (2) Gopal, R.; Agarwal, D. K.; Kumar, R. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 1973.
- (3) Kawalzumi, F.; Zana, R. J. Phys. Chem. 1974, 78, 627, 1099.

- 4) Sen, U. J. Phys. Chem. 1977, 81, 35.
- (5) Dack, M. R. J.; Bird, K. J.; Parker, A. J. Aust. J. Chem. 1975, 28, 955.
- (6) Kale, K. M.; Zana, R. J. Solution Chem. 1977, 6, 733.
 (7) Krumgalz, B. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1980, 76, 1887.
 (8) Zana, R.; Desnoyers, J. E.; Perron, G.; Kay, R. L.; Lee, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1962, 86, 3996.
- Lankford, J. I.; Criss, C. M. J. Solution Chem. 1987, 16, 753. McDonald, D. D.; Hyne, J. B. Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 2416. (10)
- (11) Hirakawa, H.; Nomura, H.; Kawalzumi, F. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93,
- 3784. (12) Hefter, G. T.; Groller, J. P. E.; Roux, A. H. J. Solution Chem. 1989, 18. 229
- (13) Hefter, G. T.; Groller, J. P. E.; Roux, A. H.; Roux-Desgranges, G. J. Solution Chem. 1990, 19, 207.
- (14) Kawalzumi, F.; Inone, Y.; Nomura, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1991, 64, 510.
- (15) Krishnan, C. V.; Friedman, H. L. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 73, 3934. (16) Kell, G. S. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1975, 20, 91.

- (17) Ortega, J.; Paz-Andrade, M. I. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1986, 31, 231.
- (18) Vaslow, F. J. Phys. Chem. 1968, 70, 2286.
- (19) Vastow, F. J. Prijs. Chem. 1996, 70, 2260.
 (19) Cowie, J. M. G.; Toporowski, P. M. Can. J. Chem. 1961, 39, 2240.
 (20) Pruett, D. J.; Feiker, L. K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1965, 30, 452.
 (21) Werblan, L.; Lesinski, J. Pol. J. Chem. 1978, 52, 1211.
 (22) Kinart, C. M.; Kinart, W. J.; Skulski, L. Pol. J. Chem. 1986, 60, 879.

- (23) Cox, B. G.; Waghorne, W. E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1980, 9, 380.
- (24) Petrella, G.; Petrella, M.; Castagnolo, M.; Dell'Ati, A.; De Giglio, A. J.
- Solution Chem. 1981, 10, 129. (25)
- Marcus, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1987, 83, 2965. Zana, R.; Yeager, E. B. In Modern Aspects of Electrochemistry; Bockris, J. O'M., Conway, B. E., White, R. E., Eds.; Plenum Press: (26) New York, 1982; Vol. 14, pp 1-60. Hirakawa, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 33, 3452.
- (27)
- (28) Marcus, Y. Ion Solvation; Wiley: New York, 1985; Chapter 6.

Received for review October 21, 1991. Revised March 9, 1992. Accepted March 23, 1992.

Vapor-Liguid Eguilibria for Carbon Dioxide + 1-Pentanol

David W. Jennings, Franchot Chang, Vivian Bazaan, and Amyn S. Teja*

School of Chemical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0100

Vapor-liquid equilibria have been measured for CO₂ + 1-pentanoi at 314.6, 325.9, and 337.4 K using a high-pressure flow apparatus. The pressure in the experiments ranged from 5.176 to 11.983 MPa. The results show that the phase behavior of $CO_2 + 1$ -pentanol is similar to the phase behavior observed for CO_2 + ethanol and $CO_2 + 1$ -butanol.

Introduction

This work forms part of a continuing study of CO_2 + alkanols (1) of interest in the extraction of biomolecules (2-4) with supercritical CO₂ + alkanols, extraction of alkanols from aqueous solutions with carbon dioxide (5-8), and the production of alkanols from syngas (9). Although much attention has been focused on CO2 + methanol and CO2 + ethanol systems, very little attention has been paid to CO_2 + higher alkanol systems. In fact, no previous vapor-liquid equilibrium measurements have been reported in the literature for CO₂ + 1-pentanol with the exception of the critical properties of dilute mixtures measured by Gurdial et al. (10).

Experimental Section

The experimental procedure and apparatus have been described in detail previously (1), and only brief details are given below. Carbon dioxide from a cylinder and 1-pentanol from a reservoir were mixed and pumped through a series of colls and static mixers placed inside a constant-temperature air bath. Vapor-liquid equilibrium was attained during the pumping process. After equilibration, the phases were separated in a high-pressure stainless steel view cell (similar to a Jerguson level gauge) and each phase was depressurized across a micrometering valve. The pentanol from each phase was condensed and collected in a cold trap, while the carobn dioxide was allowed to pass through a wet test meter. Equilibrium phase compositions were calculated from the weights of the pentanol collected and the volume of carbon dioxide measured by the wet test meters. Small corrections were made for the residual pentanol not condensed and for the carbon dioxide dissolved in the condensed pentanol. Typically, 4-6 liquid samples and 3-5 vapor samples were collected. The reported compositions represent an average of all the samples equili-

Table I.	Experimental	Vapor-Liquid	Equilibrium	Data for
CO ₂ + 1-	Pentanol		-	

$O_2 + 1$ remained	L			
P/MPa	T/K	x _{CO2}	$y_{\rm CO_2}$	
5.178	314.7	0.3368	0.9991	
6.157	314.8	0.4135	0.9989	
6.902	314.7	0.4850	0.9984	
7.598	314.7	0.5657	0.9977	
8.074	314.3	0.6714	0.9969	
	av: 314.6			
6.164	325.9	0.3367	0.9983	
6.943	326.0	0.4044	0.9978	
7.667	325.9	0.4612	0.9971	
8.274	326.0	0.5077	0.9965	
8.977	326.0	0.5695	0.9951	
9.660	326.0	0.6433	0.9924	
10.342	325.8	0.7516	0.9781	
10.556	325. 9	0.7944	0.9617	
	av: 325.9			
5.585	337.4	0.2834	0.9975	
6. 94 3	337.3	0.3583	0.9969	
7.639	337.4	0.3976	0.9963	
8.294	337.5	0.4346	0. 99 58	
8.956	337.4	0.4767	0.9949	
9.660	337.4	0.5220	0.9936	
10.418	337.4	0.5772	0.9911	
11.052	337.4	0.6300	0.9876	
11.983	337.5	0.7185	0.9745	
	av: 337.4			

brated at the desired pressure and temperature. Additionally, to verify that equilibrium conditions had been attained, some experiments at a given temperature and pressure were repeated at different flow rates. No changes in equilibrium compositions with flow rates were detected.

The pressure was measured by a digital Heise pressure gauge (model 710A) which was calibrated against a Budenburg dead weight tester. The pressure measurements were estimated to be accurate within ± 0.014 MPa. The temperature was determined by a thermistor inserted into the top side of the view cell. The thermistor was calibrated against a Fluke digital thermometer equipped with a platinum probe (model 2180 A). The temperature measurements were estimated to be accurate within ± 0.1 K. Both wet test meters were factory calibrated with a stated accuracy of 0.5%. A Sartorius balance, model 1872, was used to measure the weight of alcohol condensate collected. The weight measurements were reproducible within